arXiv:1510.00506v1 [math.CA] 2 Oct 2015

IMPROVED RESTRICTION ESTIMATE FOR HYPERBOLIC SURFACES IN $\mathbb{R}^3$

CHUHEE CHO AND JUNGJIN LEE

Abstract. Recently, L. Guth improved the restriction estimate for the surfaces with strictly positive Gaussian curvature in $\mathbb{R}^3$. In this paper we generalize his restriction estimate to the surfaces with strictly negative Gaussian curvature.

1. Introduction

Let S be a smooth compact hypersurface with boundary in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with surface measure $d\sigma$. The Fourier transform of the measure $fd\sigma$ is written as

$$\widehat{fd\sigma}(x) = \int_S e^{ix\cdot w} f(w) d\sigma(w).$$

The *restriction problem* posed by Stein [13] is to find (p, q) for which the *adjoint restriction estimate*

$$\|\widehat{fd\sigma}\|_q \le C\|f\|_{L^p(S)} \tag{1.1}$$

holds for all $f \in C_c^\infty(S)$, where some constant C may depend on p, q, d, S but not on f. This problem is connected to the question about the convergence of Fourier summation methods such as the Bochner-Riesz conjecture and the local smoothing conjecture, and the analysis of linear PDE such as the Helmholtz equation, Schrödinger equation, wave equation and the Korteweg-de Vries equation. Also, there is a fundamental relation between the restriction problem and the Kakeya problem. See [3, 15].

For several decades, a fair amount of work was devoted to this problem (particularly when S is an elliptic surface such as the unit sphere and the paraboloid). After Bourgain [2] combined a multiscale analysis approach with the improvement of the Kakeya conjecture, his methods were developed over the years; see [12, 17, 18]. Especially, from the analysis of a bilinear L^2 variant of the problem, Wolff [20] and Tao [16] obtained the *bilinear L^2 restriction theorem* for the cone and the paraboloid respectively, which significantly improved the restriction estimate. On the other hand, Bennet, Carbery and Tao [1], using the heat-flow method, obtained the *multilinear Kakeya theorem* and the *multilinear restriction theorem*. (Later, Guth [6, 8] gave the alternative proof of the multilinear Kakeya theorem.) After five years it had been announced, Bourgain and Guth [4] found a new way to apply the multilinear restriction theorem to the restriction estimate, and obtained some improvements. Recently, Guth [7] further improved it in $\mathbb{R}^3$ by adapting the *polynomial partitioning* which made a significant progress on some problems about overlapping lines in incidence geometry; see [9, 10].

In [7], Guth considered the restriction estimate when the surfaces have strictly positive Gaussian curvature. The aim of this paper is to generalize Guth's restriction estimate to the case of the quadratic surfaces with strictly negative Gaussian curvature in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let S be a compact quadratic surface with strictly negative Gaussian curvature in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then, for $q > 3.25$ and $\frac{2}{q} < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$, the estimate (1.1) is valid.*

By Stein [14], the estimate (1.1) was verified for $q \geq 4$ and $\frac{2}{q} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{p}$. The best previously known result due to Lee [11] and Vargas [19] was $q > 10/3$ and $\frac{2}{q} < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$.

We will follow the argument of Guth [7], but with some additional modification.

The paper is organized as follows. In next section, we prepare an elementary proposition which is called the wave packet decomposition. In section 3, we will make a reduction to the estimate of function which has no certain dominant frequency. These functions invoke a separation condition when we divide the estimate into a bilinear variant. In section 4, we will prove the main part of our result using the polynomial partitioning and some geometric properties. We also adapt bilinear estimates for hyperbolic surfaces to our setting.

Throughout the paper we use C to denote positive constants ≥ 1 which may be different at each occurrence. We denote $A \lesssim B$ or $A = O(B)$ to mean $A \leq CB$, and $A \sim B$ to mean $C^{-1}B \leq A \leq CB$. We denote the number of members of a set A by $\#A$.

2. Wave packet decomposition

In this section we recall the wave packet decomposition which has been a fundamental tool in restriction problems.

By a suitable translation and linear transformation we may set S as the hyperbolic paraboloid defined by

$$S = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_1\xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \ (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in D(1)\},$$

where $D(1)$ is the unit disk centered at the origin.
Let us define the extension operator Ef by

$$Ef(x) = \int_S e^{ix\cdot\xi} f(\xi) d\sigma(\xi) = \int_{D(1)} e^{2\pi i(x_1\xi_1 + x_2\xi_2 + x_3\xi_1\xi_2)} \tilde{f}(\xi_1, \xi_2) d\xi_1\xi_2$$

where $\tilde{f}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_1\xi_2)\frac{d\sigma(\xi_1,\xi_2)}{d\xi_1 d\xi_2}$.

We decompose S into caps Ω of diameter $R^{-1/2}$. Let $n(\Omega)$ be the unit normal vector to S at the center of Ω. Let $\delta > 0$ be a small parameter. For each cap Ω, we define $\mathbb{T}(\Omega)$ to be the set of cylindrical tubes which are parallel to $n(\Omega)$ and of radius $R^{1/2+\delta}$. If $T \in \mathbb{T}(\Omega)$, then $v(T)$ denotes $n(\Omega)$ and $\omega(T)$ denotes the center of Ω. We define $\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_\Omega \mathbb{T}(\Omega)$.

We need the following standard wave packet decomposition. This is a simple modification of Proposition 2.6 in [7]. (We can find a similar decomposition in Lemma 2.2 in [11] and section 3 in [19].)

Proposition 2.1 (Wave packet decomposition)**.** *Let $R \gg 1$. If $f \in L^2(S)$, then for each $T \in \mathbb{T}$ there exists a function f_T satisfies the following conditions :*

1. *If $T \in \mathbb{T}(\Omega)$ then* $\operatorname{supp} f_T \subset 3\Omega$.
2. *If $x \in B(R) \setminus T$ then $|Ef_T(x)| \leq R^{-1000}\|f\|_{L^2(S)}$.*
3. *For any $x \in B(R)$, $|Ef(x) - \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}} Ef_T(x)| \leq R^{-1000}\|f\|_{L^2(S)}$.*
4. *If $T_1, T_2 \in \mathbb{T}(\Omega)$ and T_1, T_2 are disjoint, then $\int f_{T_1}\bar{f}_{T_2} \leq R^{-1000}\int_\Omega |f|^2$.*
5. *$\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega)} \int_S |f_T|^2 \lesssim \int_\Omega |f|^2$.*
6. *For any subcollection $\mathbb{T}' \subset \mathbb{T}$, $\|\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}'} f_T\|_{L^\infty(S)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty(S)}$.*

The proof will be given in Appendix.

3. Reduction and the broad function

In this section we reduce to the problem of obtaining good localized estimates for some regularized (adjoint) restriction operator.

As in [2], by the Stein-Nikishin factorization theorem, it suffices to show (1.1) for $q > 3.25$ and $p = \infty$. Furthermore, by Tao's ϵ-removal lemma it is reduced to showing the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $p_0 = 3.25$. For any $R \geq 1$, the estimate*

$$\|Ef\|_{L^{p_0}(B(R))} \leq C_\epsilon R^\epsilon \|f\|_{L^\infty(S)} \tag{3.1}$$

is valid for all f on S, all $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ and all ball $B(R)$ of radius R.

By translation invariance we may assume that $B(R)$ is centered at the origin.

Fix $R \gg 1$; in the case $R \sim 1$, it is easy to see (3.1). First, we take a large constant $K = K(\epsilon)$ with $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} K(\epsilon) = \infty$ (we may set $K = e^{\epsilon^{-10}}$). Let e_1 and e_2 be the standard unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let $L_{\|e_1}$ denote the K strips of width K^{-1} such that their center lines are parallel to e_1 and they partition $D(1)$. $L_{\|e_2}$ are similar strips but their center lines are parallel to e_2. We cover S with the K^2 caps τ of diameter K^{-1} with finite overlap. Set $f_\tau = \chi_\tau f$.

For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we define the *α-broad function* $\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]$ by

$$\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef](x) = \begin{cases} Ef(x) & \text{if } \max_\tau |Ef_\tau(x)| + \max\limits_{\substack{L=L_{\|e_1}\\ \text{or } L_{\|e_2}}} \Big| \sum\limits_{\tau:\bar\tau\cap L\neq\emptyset} Ef_\tau(x)\Big| \leq \alpha|Ef(x)|, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\overline{\tau}$ denotes the projection of τ to $D(1)$. We also define the *α-broad point* of Ef to be the point x at which

$$\max_\tau |Ef_\tau(x)| + \max_{L=L_{\|e_1} \text{ or } L_{\|e_2}} \Big| \sum_{\tau:\bar\tau\cap L\neq\emptyset} Ef_\tau(x)\Big| \leq \alpha|Ef(x)|.$$

Then for given $x \in B(R)$, one has

$$|Ef(x)| \leq |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef](x)| + \alpha^{-1}\Big(|Ef_\tau(x)| + \Big|\sum_{\tau:\bar\tau\cap L\neq\emptyset} Ef_\tau(x)\Big|\Big).$$

Since τ and L may depend on x, we bound $|Ef_\tau(x)| + |\sum_{\tau:\bar\tau\cap L\neq\emptyset} Ef_\tau(x)|$ to $\sum_\tau |Ef_\tau(x)| + \sum_L |\sum_{\tau:\bar\tau\cap L\neq\emptyset} Ef_\tau(x)|$. After raising both sides in the above inequality to the p_0th power we integrate over $B(R)$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B(R)} |Ef(x)|^{p_0} \lesssim \int_{B(R)} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef](x)|^{p_0} \\ + \alpha^{-p_0}\Big(\sum_\tau \int_{B(R)} |Ef_\tau(x)|^{p_0} + \sum_{L=L_{\|e_1} \text{ or } L_{\|e_2}} \int_{B(R)} |\sum_{\tau:\bar\tau\cap L\neq\emptyset} Ef_\tau(x)|^{p_0}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

We will estimate the summation parts of the above inequality. For this we use the inductive argument on R; we assume that for any $1 \leq r \lneq R$, the estimate (3.1) holds for all f, all $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ and all balls $B(R)$.

If we have the induction hypothesis we also have the following estimates by scaling.

Lemma 3.2.

$$\|Ef_\tau\|_{L^{p_0}(B_R)} \leq CC_\epsilon K^{-2+\frac{4}{p_0}} R^\epsilon \|f_\tau\|_{L^\infty(S)}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\Big\| \sum_{\tau:\bar\tau\cap L\neq\emptyset} Ef_\tau\Big\|_{L^{p_0}(B_R)} \leq CC_\epsilon K^{-1+\frac{2}{p_0}} R^\epsilon \Big\| \sum_{\tau:\bar\tau\cap L\neq\emptyset} f_\tau\Big\|_{L^\infty(S)}. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. We will show (3.2). By translation we may assume that the center of τ is the origin. By abuse of notation, we use f instead of $\tilde{f}$. By scaling $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \to (K^{-1}\xi_1, K^{-1}\xi_2)$,

$$Ef_\tau(x_1, x_2, x_3) = K^{-2}[Ef_\tau^K](K^{-1}x_1, K^{-1}x_2, K^{-2}x_3)$$

where $f_\tau^K = f_\tau(K^{-1}\xi_1, K^{-1}\xi_2)$. Observe that f_τ^K is supported in the unit disk. By the change of variables,

$$\|[Ef_\tau^K](K^{-1}\cdot, K^{-1}\cdot, K^{-2}\cdot)\|_{L^{p_0}(B(R))} = K^{4/p_0}\|Ef_\tau^K\|_{L^{p_0}(T)}$$

where T is a tube of size $R/K \times R/K \times R/K^2$. By combining the above equations,

$$\|Ef_\tau\|_{L^{p_0}(B(R))} \le K^{-2+\frac{4}{p_0}}\|Ef_\tau^K\|_{L^{p_0}(T)}.$$

We have $\|Ef_\tau^K\|_{L^{p_0}(T)} \le \|Ef_\tau^K\|_{L^{p_0}(B(R/2))}$, and so we can apply the induction hypothesis. Thus,

$$\|Ef_\tau\|_{L^{p_0}(B(R))} \le CC_\epsilon R^\epsilon K^{-2+\frac{4}{p_0}}\|f_\tau^K\|_{L^\infty(S)} = CC_\epsilon R^\epsilon K^{-2+\frac{4}{p_0}}\|f_\tau\|_{L^\infty(S)}.$$

We will show (3.3). Let $L = L_{\|e_1}$; when $L = L_{\|e_2}$ the argument below is similar. By translation we may assume that the center line of L is e_1. Let $f_L = \sum_{\tau:\bar{\tau}\cap L\neq\emptyset} f_\tau$. By scaling $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \to (\xi_1, K^{-1}\xi_2)$,

$$Ef_L(x_1, x_2, x_3) = K^{-1}[Ef_L^K](x_1, K^{-1}x_2, K^{-1}x_3)$$

where $f_L^K = f_L(\xi_1, K^{-1}\xi_2)$. Observe that f_L^K is supported in $[-1, 1]^2$. By the change of variables,

$$\|[Ef_L^K](\cdot, K^{-1}\cdot, K^{-1}\cdot)\|_{L^{p_0}(B(R))} = K^{2/p_0}\|Ef_L^K\|_{L^{p_0}(L^*)}$$

where L^* is a tube of size $R \times R/K \times R/K$. Combining these, we have

$$\|Ef_L\|_{L^{p_0}(B(R))} \le K^{-1+\frac{2}{p_0}}\|Ef_L^K\|_{L^{p_0}(L^*)}.$$

We cover L^* with two balls of radius $\frac{3}{4}R$. Since $\|Ef_L\|_{L^{p_0}(L^*\cap B_{3R/4})} \le \|Ef_L\|_{L^{p_0}(B_{3R/4})}$, we can apply the induction hypothesis to each ball. Then we obtain

$$\|Ef_L\|_{L^{p_0}(B(R))} \le CC_\epsilon R^\epsilon K^{-1+\frac{2}{p_0}}\|f_L^K\|_{L^\infty(S)} = CC_\epsilon R^\epsilon K^{-1+\frac{2}{p_0}}\|f_L\|_{L^\infty(S)}.$$

□

Set $\alpha = K^{-\epsilon}$. After raising both sides in (3.2) to the p_0th power we sum these over τ. Since the number of caps τ is $\sim K^2$, we have

$$K^{O(\epsilon)}\sum_\tau \int_{B(R)} |Ef_\tau(x)|^{p_0} \le C_\epsilon^{p_0}(CK^{-2p_0+6+O(\epsilon)})R^{p_0\epsilon}\|f\|_{L^\infty(S)}^{p_0}.$$

Since $p_0 > 3$ and $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} K(\epsilon) = \infty$, we can take sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ so that $CK^{-2p_0+6+O(\epsilon)} \le (1/3)^{p_0}$. Then we have

$$K^{O(\epsilon)}\sum_\tau \int_{B(R)} |Ef_\tau(x)|^{p_0} \le 3^{-p_0}C_\epsilon^{p_0}R^{p_0\epsilon}\|f\|_{L^\infty(S)}^{p_0}. \tag{3.4}$$

Similarly, after raising both sides in (3.3) to the p_0th power we sum these over L. Since the number of strips L is $\sim K$, we have

$$K^{O(\epsilon)}\sum_L \int_{B(R)} \Big|\sum_{\tau:\bar{\tau}\cap L\neq\emptyset} Ef_\tau(x)\Big|^{p_0} \le C_\epsilon^{p_0}(CK^{-p_0+3+O(\epsilon)})R^{p_0\epsilon}\|f\|_{L^\infty(S)}^{p_0}.$$

Since $p_0 > 3$ and $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} K(\epsilon) = \infty$, we can take sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ so that $CK^{-p_0+3+O(\epsilon)} \le (1/3)^{p_0}$. Then we have

$$K^{O(\epsilon)} \sum_L \int_{B(R)} \Big| \sum_{\tau:\tilde{\tau}\cap L\neq\emptyset} Ef_\tau(x)\Big|^{p_0} \le 3^{-p_0} C_\epsilon^{p_0} R^{p_0\epsilon} \|f\|_{L^\infty(S)}^{p_0}. \tag{3.5}$$

By (3.4) and (3.5), to show (3.1) it suffices to prove

$$\|\mathbf{B}_{K^{-\epsilon}}[Ef]\|_{L^{p_0}(B(R))} \le 3^{-1} C_\epsilon R^\epsilon \|f\|_{L^\infty(S)}. \tag{3.6}$$

This easily follows from the next result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $R \gg 1$ and $\|f\|_{L^\infty(S)} \le 1$. Then for any $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, there exists $\delta_2 \in (0,\epsilon)$ and $K = K(\epsilon)$ with $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} K(\epsilon) = \infty$ such that*

$$\int_{B(R)} |\mathbf{B}_{K^{-\epsilon}}[Ef]|^{p_0} \le C_\epsilon R^\epsilon R^{\delta_2} \Big(\sum_\tau \int_S |f_\tau|^2 \Big)^{3/2+\epsilon}. \tag{3.7}$$

Here, C_ϵ is independent of R and f.

Now we prove (3.6) assuming Theorem 3.3. We may assume that $\|f\|_{L^\infty(S)} \le 1$ by normalization. Since τ is finitely overlapped, one has $\sum_\tau \int_S |f_\tau|^2 \le C\|f\|_{L^2(S)}^2$, and by Hölder's inequality it follows that $\sum_\tau \int_S |f_\tau|^2 \le C\|f\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2 \le C$. Combining this with the above estimate we have

$$\|\mathbf{B}_{K^{-\epsilon}}[Ef]\|_{L^{p_0}(B(R))} \le C C_\epsilon^{1/p_0} R^{2\epsilon/p_0}.$$

Let us denote C_ϵ in (3.6) by $\tilde{C}_\epsilon$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, if we set $\tilde{C}_\epsilon = 3CC_\epsilon^{1/p_0}$, we can have (3.6).

It remains to prove Theorem 3.3. This will be done in the next section.

Remark 3.4. The broad function in this paper is different from that in [7]. This new broad function makes the bilinear operator in Lemma 4.5 have a stronger separation condition than in [7].

4. Proof of Theorem 3.3

We will use the *polynomial partitioning*, which is a technique recently applied to some problems in incidence geometry. Let us first state the polynomial partitioning.

For a function f, we define the zero set of f to be $Z(f) = \{x : f(x) = 0\}$. For a polynomial P, we say that a polynomial P is *non-singular* if it satisfies $\nabla P(x) \neq 0$ for each point x in $Z(P)$. Then it is known that non-singular polynomials are dense in the vector space of polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^n$ of degree at most M. The following is the polynomial partitioning involving non-singular polynomials (see the section 1 in [7]).

Theorem 4.1 (Polynomial partitioning involving non-singular polynomials, [7])**.** *Assume that a nonnegative function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for each $M = 1, 2, \cdots$, there exists a non-zero polynomial P of degree at most M such that*

$$\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Z(P) = \biguplus_{i=1}^{O(M^n)} O_i$$

and all $\int_{O_i} f$ are comparable. Moreover, the polynomial P is a product of non-singular polynomials.

To begin with, let us set

$$\delta = \epsilon^2,\ \delta_1 = \epsilon^4 \ \text{ and } \ \delta_2 = \epsilon^6. \tag{4.1}$$

Then we have the relation $\epsilon \gg \delta \gg \delta_1 \gg \delta_2$. (This relation plays a crucial role to close the induction below.)

Fix $R \gg 1$. Now we analyse $\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]$. First, we apply Theorem 4.1 with

$$M = R^{\delta_1} \tag{4.2}$$

to $\chi_{B(R)}|\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0}$. Then there exists a non-zero polynomial P of degree at most M such that

$$\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Z(P) = \bigoplus_{i}^{O(M^3)} O_i$$

and for each i, it satisfies

$$\int_{O_i \cap B(R)} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0} \sim M^{-3} \int_{B(R)} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0}. \tag{4.3}$$

Let us define the *wall* W by the $R^{1/2+\delta}$-neighborhood of $Z(P)$ and the *cell* O_i' by $O_i \setminus W$. Then we have

$$\int_{B(R)} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0} = \sum_i \int_{B(R)\cap O_i'} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0} + \int_{B(R)\cap W} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0}. \tag{4.4}$$

4.1. **Cell estimate.** We consider the contribution of the summation part in the right side of (4.4).

We will use the induction argument twice. The first induction hypothesis is that for any $1 \le r < R$, the (3.7) holds for all f and all $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. If $R = 1$ then it is easy to see that the estimate (3.7) must be true.

Under the first induction hypothesis, we use another inductive argument. The second induction hypothesis is that if $|g(x)| \le \frac{1}{2}|f(x)|$ for all $x \in S$ then the estimate (3.7) holds for such g and all $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. If $|f| \le R^{-1000}$, then we can easily obtain (3.7); for example we can use the crude estimates $\|Ef\|_\infty \le C\|f\|_{L^2(S)}$ and $\|f\|_{L^2(S)} \le C\|f\|_{L^\infty(S)}$.

We suppose that the summation part in the right side of (4.4) dominates the other term. By (4.3) it follows that all $\int_{B(R)\cap O_i} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0}$ are comparable each other. So we can take $\sim M^3$ number of different cells O_i' such that

$$\int_{B(R)\cap O_i'} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0} \sim M^{-3} \int_{B(R)} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0}. \tag{4.5}$$

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the collection of i satisfying the above equation. Using (4.3), (4.5) and $\#\mathcal{I} = O(M^3)$, we can obtain that for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_k \int_{B(R)\cap O_k'} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0} &\lesssim \sum_k \int_{B(R)\cap O_k} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0} \\ &\lesssim \int_{B(R)} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0} \\ &\lesssim M^3 \int_{B(R)\cap O_i'} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Here, what is important is that the above inequality holds for all $i \in \mathcal{I}$.

We now decompose f into the wave packets on $B(R)$. By (3) of Proposition 2.1, we may set

$$f = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}} f_T. \tag{4.7}$$

We consider the wave packets f_T with $T \cap O_i' \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathbb{T}_i(\Omega)$ be the subcollection defined by

$$\mathbb{T}_i(\Omega) = \{T \in \mathbb{T}(\Omega) : T \cap O_i' \neq \emptyset\},$$

and let $\mathbb{T}_i = \bigcup_\Omega \mathbb{T}_i(\Omega)$.

Since we allow τ to be finitely overlapped, we may set

$$f_\tau = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T} : \omega(T) \in \tau} f_T.$$

For each i and τ, let us define $f_{\tau,i}$ and f_i by

$$f_{\tau,i} = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}_i : \omega(T) \in \tau} f_T \quad \text{and} \quad f_i = \sum_\tau f_{\tau,i}$$

respectively.

To use the inductive argument in (4.6) we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *For $x \in O_i'$,*

$$|\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef](x)| \lesssim |\mathbf{B}_{4\alpha}[Ef_i](x)| + R^{-900} \sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}. \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. Using (4.7) we write Ef as

$$Ef = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}} Ef_T = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}_i} Ef_T + \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{T}_i} Ef_T.$$

From (2) of Proposition 2.1, it follows that for $x \in O_i'$,

$$Ef(x) = Ef_i(x) + O\big(R^{-990} \sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}\big).$$

Now it suffices to show that if $x \in O_i'$ is an α-broad point of Ef then x is also a 4α-broad point of Ef_i. We may assume $|Ef_i(x)| \geq R^{-900} \sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}$; otherwise, using the above equation we have

$$|\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef](x)| \leq |Ef(x)| \leq |Ef_i(x)| + O\big(R^{-990} \sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}\big) \lesssim R^{-900} \sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)},$$

which satisfies (4.8). Since $x \in O_i'$ is an α-broad point of Ef, we have that for any caps τ,

$$\begin{aligned} |Ef_{\tau,i}(x)| &\leq |Ef_\tau(x)| + O(R^{-990}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}) \\ &\leq \alpha |Ef(x)| + O(R^{-990}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}) \\ &\leq \alpha |Ef_i(x)| + O(R^{-990} \sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}) \\ &\leq 2\alpha |Ef_i(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for any $L = L_{\|e_1}$ or $L_{\|e_2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\sum_{\tau:\bar{\tau}\cap L\neq\emptyset} Ef_{\tau,i}(x)\Big| &\le \Big|\sum_{\tau:\bar{\tau}\cap L\neq\emptyset} Ef_{\tau}(x)\Big| + O(R^{-990}\sum_{\tau:\bar{\tau}\cap L\neq\emptyset}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)})\\ &\le \alpha|Ef(x)| + O(R^{-990}\sum_{\tau:\bar{\tau}\cap L\neq\emptyset}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)})\\ &\le \alpha|Ef_i(x)| + O(R^{-990}\sum_{\tau}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)})\\ &\le 2\alpha|Ef_i(x)|.\end{aligned}$$

From these it follows that

$$\max_{\tau}|Ef_{\tau,i}(x)| + \max_{L=L_{\|e_1}\text{ or }L_{\|e_2}}\Big|\sum_{\tau:\bar{\tau}\cap L\neq\emptyset} Ef_{\tau,i}(x)\Big| \le 4\alpha|Ef_i(x)|.$$

□

After raising both sides in (4.8) to the p_0th power we integrate it over $B(R)\cap O_i'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B(R)\cap O_i'}|\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0} &\lesssim \int_{B(R)\cap O_i'}|\mathbf{B}_{2\alpha}[Ef_i]|^{p_0} + R^{-2000}\sum_\tau\|f_\tau\|^{p_0}_{L^2(S)}\\ &\lesssim \int_{B(R)}|\mathbf{B}_{2\alpha}[Ef_i]|^{p_0} + R^{-2000}\sum_\tau\|f_\tau\|^{p_0}_{L^2(S)}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

After multiplying f_i by a small constant C^{-1} to be $C^{-1}|f_i| \le \frac{1}{2}|f|$, we apply the second induction hypothesis to the right side of the above estimate. Then,

$$\int_{B(R)\cap O_i'}|\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef_i]|^{p_0} \le CC_\epsilon R^\epsilon R^{\delta_2}\Big(\sum_\tau\int_S|f_{\tau,i}|^2\Big)^{3/2+\epsilon} + R^{-2000}\sum_\tau\|f_\tau\|^{p_0}_{L^2(S)}.$$

By substituting this in the right side of (4.6), one has that for each $i\in\mathcal{I}$,

$$\sum_k\int_{B(R)\cap O_k'}|\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0} \le CC_\epsilon M^3R^\epsilon R^{\delta_2}\Big(\sum_\tau\int_S|f_{\tau,i}|^2\Big)^{3/2+\epsilon} + O(R^{-2000}\sum_\tau\|f_\tau\|^{p_0}_{L^2(S)}).\tag{4.10}$$

Now, we consider the summation $\sum_\tau\int_S|f_{\tau,i}|^2$. To estimate this, we will use the fact that if P is a non-zero polynomial of degree M then the algebraic surface $Z(P)$ intersects a line in at most M points.

Lemma 4.3. *For each τ,*

$$\sum_i\int|f_{\tau,i}|^2 \lesssim M\int|f_\tau|^2 + R^{-900}\sum_\tau\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}.\tag{4.11}$$

Proof. By (1) of Proposition 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}\int|f_{\tau,i}|^2 &\lesssim \int\Big|\sum_{\Omega:\Omega\cap\tau\neq\emptyset}\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}_i(\Omega)}f_T\Big|^2\\ &\lesssim \sum_{\Omega:\Omega\cap\tau\neq\emptyset}\int\Big|\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}_i(\Omega)}f_T\Big|^2.\end{aligned}$$

By (4) of Proposition 2.1,

$$\int |f_{\tau,i}|^2 \lesssim \sum_{\Omega:\Omega\cap\tau\neq\emptyset} \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}_i(\Omega)} \int |f_T|^2 + R^{-950} \sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}.$$

By summing over i,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i \int |f_{\tau,i}|^2 &\lesssim \sum_{\Omega:\Omega\cap\tau\neq\emptyset} \sum_i \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}_i(\Omega)} \int |f_T|^2 + R^{-900} \sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\Omega:\Omega\cap\tau\neq\emptyset} \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega)} \sum_{i:O_i'\cap T\neq\emptyset} \int |f_T|^2 + R^{-900} \sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}.\end{aligned}$$

We observe that each tube $T \in \mathbb{T}$ intersects O_i at most $(M+1)$ times because a line can cross $Z(P)$ at most M times. This makes

$$\sum_i \int |f_{\tau,i}|^2 \lesssim M \sum_{\Omega:\Omega\cap\tau\neq\emptyset} \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega)} \int |f_T|^2 + R^{-900} \sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}.$$

By (1) and (4) of Proposition 2.1, we can finally obtain (4.11). □

From (4.11) and the pigeonhole principle, it follows that there is a cell O_i', $i \in \mathcal{I}$, such that

$$\int |f_{\tau,i}|^2 \lesssim M^{-2} \int |f_\tau|^2 + R^{-900} \sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}.$$

By inserting this into (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_k \int_{B(R)\cap O_k'} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0} &\le C_\epsilon (CM^{-2\epsilon} R^{\delta_2}) R^\epsilon \Big(\sum_\tau \int |f_\tau|^2 \Big)^{3/2+\epsilon} + O(R^{-1000} \sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}^{p_0}) \\ &\le C_\epsilon R^\epsilon (CM^{-2\epsilon} R^{\delta_2} + C' R^{-1000}) \Big(\sum_\tau \int_S |f_\tau|^2 \Big)^{3/2+\epsilon}\end{aligned}$$

where we use the crude estimates $\|f_\tau\|_2 \le C\|f_\tau\|_\infty$ and $\sum_\tau \int |f_\tau|^2 \sim \sum_\tau \int_S |f_\tau|^2$ which follows from the setting that S is covered by finitely overlapped caps τ. By (4.2) and (4.1), one has $M^{-2\epsilon} R^{\delta_2} = R^{-2\epsilon^5+\epsilon^6}$. Since the exponent of R is negative, we have $CR^{-2\epsilon^5+\epsilon^6} + C'R^{-1000} \le 1/2$ for a sufficiently large R. Thus we obtain

$$\sum_k \int_{B(R)\cap O_k'} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0} \le 2^{-1} C_\epsilon R^\epsilon \Big(\sum_\tau \int_S |f_\tau|^2 \Big)^{3/2+\epsilon}.$$

From the above estimate and (4.4), it will suffice to prove the estimate

$$\int_{B(R)\cap W} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0} \le 2^{-1} C_\epsilon R^\epsilon R^{\delta_2} \Big(\sum_\tau \int_S |f_\tau|^2 \Big)^{3/2+\epsilon}. \tag{4.12}$$

4.2. **Wall estimate.** We consider the wave packets f_T with $T \cap W \neq \emptyset$. We divide the wave packets into two classes: the transverse to the wall W and the tangent to the wall W. We first give the definitions for the transversal tube and the tangential one, and decompose $\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]$ into the transversal part and tangential part.

We cover $B(R)$ with $O(R^{3\delta})$ balls B_j of radius $R^{1-\delta}$. (Later we will use the inductive argument to each B_j for estimating the transversal part.) We define the collection of *tangential tubes* $\mathbb{T}_j^\flat$

to be the collection of all tubes $T \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $T \cap W \cap B_j \neq \emptyset$ and if z is any non-singular point of $Z(P)$ in $2B_j \cap 10T$, then

$$\angle(v(T), T_z Z) \leq R^{-1/2+2\delta},$$

where $T_z Z$ is the tangent plane of $Z(P)$ at point z. We also define the collection of *transversal tubes* $\mathbb{T}_j^\sharp$ to be the collection of all tubes $T \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $T \cap W \cap B_j \neq \emptyset$ and there exists a non-singular point z of $Z(P)$ in $2B_j \cap 10T$, so that

$$\angle(v(T), T_z Z) > R^{-1/2+2\delta}.$$

We will consider the bilinear operator $Ef_{\tau_1} Ef_{\tau_2}$ under a certain separation condition between τ_1 and τ_2. To state the separation condition, the strips of width K^{-1} will be handled. We say that a strip L of width K^{-1} is *parallel* to the vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ if the angle between the center line of L and v is $\leq \frac{3}{2}K^{-1}$, and say that L is *nonparallel* to v, otherwise. We define

$$\mathrm{Bil}(Ef) := \sum_{\substack{(\tau_1,\tau_2):\mathrm{dist}(\bar\tau_1,\bar\tau_2)\geq K^{-1},\\ L(\tau_1,\tau_2)\text{ nonparallel to the axes}}} |Ef_{\tau_1}|^{1/2} |Ef_{\tau_2}|^{1/2},$$

where $L(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ is the strip of width K^{-1} whose center line passing through the centers of $\bar\tau_1$ and $\bar\tau_2$. Note that if $L(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ is nonparallel to the axes then $\mathrm{proj}_{\xi_1}\bar\tau_1$ and $\mathrm{proj}_{\xi_1}\bar\tau_2$ are nonadjacent and $\mathrm{proj}_{\xi_2}\bar\tau_1$ and $\mathrm{proj}_{\xi_2}\bar\tau_2$ are also nonadjacent, where proj_{ξ_i} denotes the projection to ξ_i-axis in $\mathbb{R}^2$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Remark 4.4. As indicated in [11,19], the stronger separation condition than in [7] is required to obtain the bilinear estimate (4.35) below.

If I is a subcollection of the collection of caps τ, we define f_I by

$$f_I := \sum_{\tau\in I} f_\tau.$$

We set

$$f^\sharp_{\tau,j} := \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}_j^\sharp:\omega(T)\in\tau} f_T, \quad f^\sharp_j := \sum_\tau f^\sharp_{\tau,j} \quad \text{and} \quad f^\sharp_{I,j} := \sum_{\tau\in I} f^\sharp_{\tau,j},$$

and similarly define $f^\flat_{\tau,j}$, $f^\flat_j$ and $f^\flat_{I,j}$.

The following lemma is about the decomposition of $\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]$. We will use the concept of broad point so as to obtain the bilinear form of $Ef^\flat$ instead of linear one.

Lemma 4.5. *For any $x \in B_j \cap W$,*

$$|\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef](x)| \lesssim \sum_I |\mathbf{B}_{C\alpha}[Ef^\sharp_{I,j}](x)| + K^{100}\mathrm{Bil}(Ef^\flat_j)(x) + R^{-900}\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}, \tag{4.13}$$

where I runs over the subcollections of the collection of caps τ.

Proof. Fix $x \in B_j \cap W$ and we assume that x is an α-broad point of Ef. We may assume that

$$|Ef(x)| \geq CR^{-900}\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}; \tag{4.14}$$

otherwise, we trivially have (4.13).

Let I be the collection of caps τ satisfying

$$|Ef^\flat_{\tau,j}(x)| \leq K^{-100}|Ef(x)|. \tag{4.15}$$

Consider the complement I^c. If I^c has two nonadjacent caps τ_1, τ_2 such that $L(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ is nonparallel to the axes, then one has

$$|Ef(x)| \le K^{100} |Ef^{\flat}_{\tau_1,j}(x)|^{1/2} |Ef^{\flat}_{\tau_2,j}(x)|^{1/2},$$

since $|Ef(x)| < K^{100}|Ef^{\flat}_{\tau,j}(x)|$ for $\tau \in I^c$. Thus, in this case we have

$$|Ef(x)| \le K^{100} \mathrm{Bil}(Ef^{\flat}_{\tau,j})(x),$$

and so the inequality (4.13) is valid.

Now we suppose that I^c does not contain two nonadjacent caps such that $L(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ is nonparallel to the axes. In this case we can observe that there is a strip L of width K^{-1} and parallel to e_1 or e_2 such that $\bar{\tau} \cap L \neq \emptyset$ for all $\tau \in I^c$. Let $\acute{I}^c$ be the collection of caps τ with $\bar{\tau} \cap L \neq \emptyset$, and $\acute{I} = I \setminus \acute{I}^c$. By the assumption that x is an α-broad point of Ef,

$$\begin{aligned} |Ef(x)| &\le \Big| \sum_{\tau \in \acute{I}} Ef_\tau(x) \Big| + \Big| \sum_{\tau \in \acute{I}^c} Ef_\tau(x) \Big| \\ &\le |Ef_{I'}(x)| + \alpha |Ef(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \alpha \ll 1$, by rearranging this we have

$$|Ef(x)| \lesssim |Ef_{\acute{I}}(x)|. \tag{4.16}$$

We decompose $f_{\acute{I}}$ into

$$f_{\acute{I}} = \sum_{\tau \in \acute{I}} f_\tau = \sum_{\tau \in \acute{I}} \sum_{T : \omega(T) \in \tau} f_T.$$

By (2) of Proposition 2.1, we have that for any τ,

$$\Big| \sum_{T : \omega(T) \in \tau,\ T \cap B_j \cap W = \emptyset} Ef_T(x) \Big| \lesssim R^{-990} \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)},$$

since $x \in B_j \cap W$. Each tube T intersecting $B_j \cap W$ is contained in either $\mathbb{T}^{\flat}_j$ or $\mathbb{T}^{\sharp}_j$. So, for each τ,

$$Ef_\tau(x) = Ef^{\sharp}_{\tau,j}(x) + Ef^{\flat}_{\tau,j}(x) + O(R^{-990}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}). \tag{4.17}$$

We sum these over $\tau \in \acute{I}$ and then by the triangle inequality,

$$|Ef_{\acute{I}}(x)| \le |Ef^{\sharp}_{\acute{I},j}(x)| + \sum_{\tau \in \acute{I}} |Ef^{\flat}_{\tau,j}(x)| + O(R^{-990} \sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}).$$

From (4.15) it follows that

$$\sum_{\tau \in \acute{I}} |Ef^{\flat}_{\tau,j}(x)| \le K^{-98} |Ef(x)|. \tag{4.18}$$

Inserting this into the previous inequality, we obtain

$$|Ef_{\acute{I}}(x)| \lesssim |Ef^{\sharp}_{\acute{I},j}(x)| + K^{-98}|Ef(x)| + O(R^{-990} \sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}),$$

and by (4.14),

$$|Ef_{\acute{I}}(x)| \lesssim |Ef^{\sharp}_{\acute{I},j}(x)| + K^{-98}|Ef(x)| + R^{-90}|Ef(x)|.$$

We combine this with (4.16) and rearrange it. Then,

$$|Ef(x)| \lesssim |Ef^{\sharp}_{\acute{I},j}(x)|. \tag{4.19}$$

It remains to show that if $x \in B_j \cap W$ is an α-broad point of Ef then x is also a $C\alpha$-broad point of $Ef^\sharp_{\acute{I},j}$, that is, we will show $|\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef](x)| \lesssim |\mathbf{B}_{C\alpha}[Ef^\sharp_{\acute{I},j}](x)|$.
Let τ be given. By (4.17),

$$|Ef^\sharp_{\tau,j}(x)| \le |Ef_{\tau,j}(x)| + |Ef^\flat_{\tau,j}(x)| + O(R^{-990}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}).$$

By (2) of Proposition 2.1, we have $|Ef_{\tau,j}(x)| \le |Ef_\tau(x)| + O(R^{-990}\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)})$. So we have

$$|Ef^\sharp_{\tau,j}(x)| \le |Ef_\tau(x)| + |Ef^\flat_{\tau,j}(x)| + O(R^{-990}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}).$$

Since x is an α-broad point of Ef,

$$|Ef^\sharp_{\tau,j}(x)| \le \alpha|Ef(x)| + |Ef^\flat_{\tau,j}(x)| + O(R^{-990}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}).$$

By (4.18), (4.14) and $\alpha = K^{-\epsilon}$,

$$|Ef^\flat_{\tau,j}(x)| + O(R^{-990}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}) \le (K^{-100} + CR^{-90})|Ef(x)| \le \alpha|Ef(x)|.$$

By substituting this in the previous one, we obtain

$$|Ef^\sharp_{\tau,j}(x)| \le 2\alpha|Ef(x)|. \tag{4.20}$$

Let Λ be a given strip of width K^{-1} which is parallel to e_1 or e_2. By (4.17),

$$\Big|\sum_{\tau\in\acute{I}:\bar\tau\cap\Lambda\neq\emptyset} Ef^\sharp_{\tau,j}(x)\Big| \le \Big|\sum_{\tau\in\acute{I}:\bar\tau\cap\Lambda\neq\emptyset} Ef_{\tau,j}(x)\Big| + \sum_{\tau\in\acute{I}:\bar\tau\cap\Lambda\neq\emptyset} |Ef^\flat_{\tau,j}(x)| + O(R^{-990}\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}).$$

By (2) of Proposition 2.1,

$$\Big|\sum_{\tau\in\acute{I}:\bar\tau\cap\Lambda\neq\emptyset} Ef^\sharp_{\tau,j}(x)\Big| \le \Big|\sum_{\tau\in\acute{I}:\bar\tau\cap\Lambda\neq\emptyset} Ef_\tau(x)\Big| + \sum_{\tau\in\acute{I}:\bar\tau\cap\Lambda\neq\emptyset} |Ef^\flat_{\tau,j}(x)| + O(R^{-990}\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}).$$

If Λ is parallel to L then one has $|\sum_{\tau\in\acute{I}:\bar\tau\cap\Lambda\neq\emptyset} Ef_\tau(x)| \le |\sum_{\tau:\bar\tau\cap\Lambda\neq\emptyset} Ef_\tau(x)|$. Otherwise, that is, if Λ is perpendicular to L then $|\sum_{\tau\in\acute{I}:\bar\tau\cap\Lambda\neq\emptyset} Ef_\tau(x)| \le |\sum_{\tau:\bar\tau\cap\Lambda\neq\emptyset} Ef_\tau(x)| + C\max_\tau |Ef_\tau(x)|$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\sum_{\tau\in\acute{I}:\bar\tau\cap\Lambda\neq\emptyset} Ef^\sharp_{\tau,j}(x)\Big| \le \Big|\sum_{\tau:\bar\tau\cap\Lambda\neq\emptyset} Ef_\tau(x)\Big| + C\max_\tau |Ef_\tau(x)| \\ + \sum_{\tau\in\acute{I}:\bar\tau\cap\Lambda\neq\emptyset} |Ef^\flat_{\tau,j}(x)| + O(R^{-990}\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Since x is an α-broad point of Ef, one has

$$\Big|\sum_{\tau:\bar\tau\cap\Lambda\neq\emptyset} Ef_\tau(x)\Big| + C\max_\tau |Ef_\tau(x)| \lesssim \alpha|Ef(x)|.$$

By (4.18) and (4.14),

$$\sum_{\tau\in\acute{I}:\bar\tau\cap\Lambda\neq\emptyset} |Ef^\flat_{\tau,j}(x)| + O(R^{-990}\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}) \le (K^{-98} + CR^{-90})|Ef(x)| \le \alpha|Ef(x)|.$$

Inserting these into (4.21), we have

$$\Big|\sum_{\tau\in\acute{I}:\bar\tau\cap\Lambda\neq\emptyset} Ef^\sharp_{\tau,j}(x)\Big| \le C\alpha|Ef(x)|.$$

By (4.19), we finally obtain

$$\Big| \sum_{\tau\in \acute{I}:\bar{\tau}\cap\Lambda\neq\emptyset} Ef^\sharp_{\tau,j}(x)\Big| \le C\alpha |Ef^\sharp_{\acute{I},j}(x)|.$$

From this and (4.20) it follows that x is a $C\alpha$-broad point of $Ef^\sharp_{\acute{I},j}$. □

The above lemma provides

$$\int_{B(R)\cap W} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef]|^{p_0} \le C\Big(\sum_{j,I}\int_{B_j\cap W} |\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef^\sharp_{I,j}]|^{p_0} + K^{400}\sum_j \int_{B_j\cap W} \mathrm{Bil}(Ef_j^\flat)^{p_0} + R^{-850}\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|^{p_0}_{L^2(S)}\Big).$$

Now we will consider the transversal part $\sum_{j,I}\int_{B_j\cap W}|\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef^\sharp_{I,j}]|^{p_0}$ and the tangential part $K^{100p_0}\sum_j\int_{B_j\cap W}\mathrm{Bil}(Ef_j^\flat)^{p_0}$, respectively. (The last error term $CR^{-850}\sum_\tau\|f_\tau\|^{p_0}_{L^2(S)}$ is trivially bounded by $8^{-1}R^\epsilon\big(\sum_\tau\int_S|f_\tau|^2\big)^{3/2+\epsilon}$ for a sufficiently large R; for instance, we can use the crude estimate $\sum_\tau\|f_\tau\|^2_{L^2(S)}\lesssim 1$.) To estimate the transversal part we utilize the inductive argument again, and for the tangential part we estimate it directly where the bilinear estimate in [11, 19] will be considered.

4.2.1. *Estimate for the transversal part.* We claim

$$C\sum_{j,I}\int_{B_j}|\mathbf{B}_\alpha[Ef^\sharp_{I,j}]|^{p_0} \le 8^{-1}C_\epsilon R^\epsilon R^{\delta_2}\Big(\sum_\tau\int_S|f_\tau|^2\Big)^{3/2+\epsilon}. \tag{4.22}$$

To prove this we use the inductive argument on R. By the induction hypothesis,

$$\int_{B_j}|\mathbf{B}_{2\alpha}[Ef^\sharp_{I,j}]|^{p_0} \le CC_\epsilon R^{(1-\delta)(\epsilon+\delta_2)}\Big(\sum_\tau\int_S|f^\sharp_{\tau,j}|^2\Big)^{3/2+\epsilon},$$

where the condition $\|f^\sharp_{I,j}\|_{L^\infty(S)}\lesssim 1$ is easily checked by (6) of Proposition 2.1.
By summing these over j,

$$\sum_j\int_{B_j}|\mathbf{B}_{2\alpha}[Ef^\sharp_{I,j}]|^{p_0} \le CC_\epsilon R^{(1-\delta)(\epsilon+\delta_2)}\Big(\sum_\tau\sum_j\int_S|f^\sharp_{\tau,j}|^2\Big)^{3/2+\epsilon}. \tag{4.23}$$

Now we will estimate $\sum_j\int_S|f^\sharp_{\tau,j}|^2$. For this we need the following geometric lemma about the transverse tubes.

Lemma 4.6 (Lemma 3.5 in [7])**.** *Each tube $T\in\mathbb{T}$ belongs to at most $M^C = R^{C\delta_1}$ different sets $\mathbb{T}^\#_j$.*

Using this lemma we can prove the following estimate.

Lemma 4.7. *For each τ,*

$$\sum_j\int|f^\sharp_{\tau,j}|^2 \lesssim M^C\int|f_\tau|^2 + R^{-900}\sum_\tau\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}. \tag{4.24}$$

Proof. By (1) of Proposition 2.1,

$$\int |f_{\tau,j}^{\sharp}|^2 \lesssim \int \Big| \sum_{\Omega:\Omega\cap\tau\neq\emptyset} \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}_j^{\sharp}(\Omega)} f_T \Big|^2 \lesssim \sum_{\Omega:\Omega\cap\tau\neq\emptyset} \int \Big| \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}_j^{\sharp}(\Omega)} f_T \Big|^2.$$

By (4) of Proposition 2.1,

$$\int |f_{\tau,j}^{\sharp}|^2 \lesssim \sum_{\Omega:\Omega\cap\tau\neq\emptyset} \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}_j^{\sharp}(\Omega)} \int |f_T|^2 + R^{-950} \sum_{\tau} \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}.$$

By summing over j,

$$\sum_j \int |f_{\tau,j}^{\sharp}|^2 \lesssim \sum_{\Omega:\Omega\cap\tau\neq\emptyset} \sum_j \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}_j^{\sharp}(\Omega)} \int |f_T|^2 + R^{-900} \sum_{\tau} \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)} \lesssim \sum_{\Omega:\Omega\cap\tau\neq\emptyset} \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega)} \sum_{j:T\in\mathbb{T}_j^{\#}(\Omega)} \int |f_T|^2 + R^{-900} \sum_{\tau} \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}.$$

By Lemma 4.6, it is bounded by

$$\sum_j \int |f_{\tau,j}^{\sharp}|^2 \lesssim M^C \sum_{\Omega:\Omega\cap\tau\neq\emptyset} \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega)} \int |f_T|^2 + R^{-900} \sum_{\tau} \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)} \lesssim M^C \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega):\omega(T)\in\tau} \int |f_T|^2 + R^{-900} \sum_{\tau} \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}.$$

By (4) of Proposition 2.1, we can finally obtain (4.24). □

We plug (4.24) into (4.23). Then,

$$\sum_j \int_{B_j} |\mathbf{B}_{2\alpha}[Ef_{I,j}^{\sharp}]|^{p_0} \le CC_\epsilon R^{(1-\delta)(\epsilon+\delta_2)} M^C \Big(\sum_{\tau} \int |f_\tau|^2 \Big)^{3/2+\epsilon} + O(R^{-1000} \sum_{\tau} \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}^{p_0}),$$

and by summing over I,

$$\sum_{j,I} \int_{B_j} |\mathbf{B}_{2\alpha}[Ef_{I,j}^{\sharp}]|^{p_0} \le R^{\epsilon+\delta_2} 2^{K^2} CC_\epsilon M^C R^{-\delta(\epsilon+\delta_2)} \Big(\sum_{\tau} \int_S |f_\tau|^2 \Big)^{3/2+\epsilon} + O(R^{-990} \sum_{\tau} \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}^{p_0}),$$

where we use $\sum_\tau \int |f_\tau|^2 \sim \sum_\tau \int_S |f_\tau|^2$ which is from the setting that S is covered by finitely overlapped caps τ. By (4.1) and (4.2), we have $M^C R^{-\delta(\epsilon+\delta_2)} = R^{C\epsilon^4-\epsilon^3-\epsilon^8} \le R^{-\epsilon^3/2}$, and for a sufficiently large R we finally have (4.22).

4.2.2. *Estimate for the tangential part.* Until now we reduced the problem by using the inductive argument. In this subsection we will directly estimate the remaining part. The key ingredient is the following geometric estimate.

Lemma 4.8 (Lemma 3.6 in [7])**.** *For each j, the number of different Ω with $\mathbb{T}_j^\flat \cap \mathbb{T}(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$ is at most $R^{1/2+O(\delta)}$.*

Note that from the above lemma we see that $\mathbb{T}_j^\flat$ contains tubes in only $R^{1/2+O(\delta)}$ different directions.

To prove (4.12) it now remains to show that

$$K^{400}\sum_j \int_{B_j\cap W} \mathrm{Bil}(Ef_j^\flat)^{p_0} \le 8^{-1}C_\epsilon R^\epsilon R^{\delta_2}\Big(\sum_\tau \int_S |f_\tau|^2\Big)^{3/2}.$$

Since $K^C \le R^\delta$ for a large R, we have that for some B_j,

$$K^{400}\sum_j \int_{B_j\cap W} \mathrm{Bil}(Ef_j^\flat)^{p_0} \le R^{C\delta}\int_{B_j} \mathrm{Bil}(Ef_j^\flat)^{p_0}.$$

From (4.1) it follows that $CR^{O(\delta)} \le R^\epsilon \le 8^{-1}C_\epsilon R^\epsilon R^{\delta_2}$. So it suffices to show that

$$\int_{B_j\cap W} \mathrm{Bil}(Ef_j^\flat)^{p_0} \lesssim R^{C\delta}\Big(\sum_\tau \int_S |f_\tau|^2\Big)^{3/2}. \tag{4.25}$$

We decompose $B_j \cap W$ into finer cubes Q of side length $R^{1/2}$. We define $\mathbb{T}_{1,Q}^\flat$ and $\mathbb{T}_{2,Q}^\flat$ by

$$\mathbb{T}_{1,Q}^\flat = \{T \in \mathbb{T}_j^\flat : T\cap Q \neq \emptyset,\ \omega(T) \in \tau_1\},$$
$$\mathbb{T}_{2,Q}^\flat = \{T \in \mathbb{T}_j^\flat : T\cap Q \neq \emptyset,\ \omega(T) \in \tau_2\}.$$

We will first show the orthogonality among the bilinear wave packets $Ef_{T_1}Ef_{T_2}$ for $T_1 \in \mathbb{T}_{1,Q}^\flat$ and $T_2 \in \mathbb{T}_{2,Q}^\flat$. Since the tubes in $\mathbb{T}_1^\flat(Q) \cup \mathbb{T}_2^\flat(Q)$ are in the $O(R^{1/2+\delta})$-neighborhood of the plane, the orthogonal property found in the proof of the 2-dimensional restriction theorem can be obtained.

Lemma 4.9. *Let us set $F_T = Ef_T$. Suppose that for any $(v_1, w_1) \in \bar\tau_1$ and any $(v_2, w_2) \in \bar\tau_2$,*

$$|v_1 - v_2| \sim K^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad |w_1 - w_2| \sim K^{-1}. \tag{4.26}$$

Then for any Q,

$$\int \Big|\sum_{T_1\in\mathbb{T}_{1,Q}^\flat}\sum_{T_2\in\mathbb{T}_{2,Q}^\flat} F_{T_1}F_{T_2}\Big|^2 \lesssim R^{C\delta}\sum_{T_1\in\mathbb{T}_{1,Q}^\flat}\sum_{T_2\in\mathbb{T}_{2,Q}^\flat}\int |F_{T_1}F_{T_2}|^2. \tag{4.27}$$

Proof. We write as

$$\int \Big|\sum_{T_1\in\mathbb{T}_{1,Q}^\flat}\sum_{T_2\in\mathbb{T}_{2,Q}^\flat} F_{T_1}F_{T_2}\Big|^2 = \sum_{T_1,T_1'\in\mathbb{T}_{1,Q}^\flat}\sum_{T_2,T_2'\in\mathbb{T}_{2,Q}^\flat}\langle F_{T_1}F_{T_2}, F_{T_1'}F_{T_2'}\rangle.$$

By Parseval's identity,

$$\langle F_{T_1}F_{T_2}, F_{T_1'}F_{T_2'}\rangle = \langle \widehat{F}_{T_1} * \widehat{F}_{T_2}, \widehat{F}_{T_1'} * \widehat{F}_{T_2'}\rangle.$$

Let $\omega(T_1) = (v_1, w_1, v_1w_1)$ and $\omega(T_2) = (v_2, w_2, v_2w_2)$. If the above equation does not vanish, then the following relations are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 + v_2 &= v_1' + v_2' + O(R^{-1/2}), \\ w_1 + w_2 &= w_1' + w_2' + O(R^{-1/2}), \\ v_1w_1 + v_2w_2 &= v_1'w_1' + v_2'w_2' + O(R^{-1/2}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

From these relations it follows that if $(v_1, w_1), (v_2, w_2)$ and (v_1', w_1') are given, then (v_2', w_2') is determined as follows:

$$(v_2', w_2') = (v_1 + v_2 - v_1', w_1 + w_2 - w_1') + O(R^{-1/2}). \tag{4.29}$$

So, if we set $\omega_2' = \omega(T_1) + \omega(T_2) - \omega(T_1')$, then

$$\sum_{T_1, T_1' \in \mathbb{T}^\flat_{1,Q}} \sum_{T_2, T_2' \in \mathbb{T}^\flat_{2,Q}} \langle F_{T_1}F_{T_2}, F_{T_1'}F_{T_2'}\rangle = \sum_{T_1, T_1' \in \mathbb{T}^\flat_{1,Q}} \sum_{T_2 \in \mathbb{T}^\flat_{2,Q}} \sum_{\substack{T_2' \in \mathbb{T}^\flat_{2,Q}: \\ |\omega(T_2') - \omega_2'| \lesssim R^{-1/2}}} \langle F_{T_1}F_{T_2}, F_{T_1'}F_{T_2'}\rangle.$$

Note that the number of choice of T_2' is $O(1)$, because T_2' passes through Q.

Now we will restrict (v_1, w_1) when (v_1', w_1') and (v_2, w_2) are given. For this we insert (4.29) into (4.28). By rearranging this, we obtain

$$(v_1 - v_1')(w_2 - w_1') + (w_1 - w_1')(v_2 - v_1') = O(R^{-1/2}). \tag{4.30}$$

It implies that if (v_1', w_1') and (v_2, w_2) are given, then (v_1, w_1) is contained in the $O(R^{-1/2})$-neighborhood of the line $\ell(T_1', T_2)$ which is passing through (v_1', w_1') and of direction normal to the vector $(w_2 - w_1', v_2 - v_1')$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{T_1, T_1' \in \mathbb{T}^\flat_{1,Q}} \sum_{T_2, T_2' \in \mathbb{T}^\flat_{2,Q}} \langle F_{T_1}F_{T_2}, F_{T_1'}F_{T_2'}\rangle \\ &\qquad = \sum_{T_1' \in \mathbb{T}^\flat_{1,Q}} \sum_{T_2 \in \mathbb{T}^\flat_{2,Q}} \sum_{\substack{T_1 \in \mathbb{T}^\flat_{1,Q}: \\ \operatorname{dist}((v_1,w_1), \ell(T_1', T_2)) \lesssim R^{-1/2}}} \sum_{\substack{T_2' \in \mathbb{T}^\flat_{2,Q}: \\ |\omega(T_2') - \omega_2'| \lesssim R^{-1/2}}} \langle F_{T_1}F_{T_2}, F_{T_1'}F_{T_2'}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let Π be the plane of which the $O(R^{1/2+\delta})$-neighborhood contains all tubes in $\mathbb{T}^\flat_{1,Q} \cup \mathbb{T}^\flat_{2,Q}$. Since T_1, T_1' and T_2 pass through Q, the directions $v(T_1), v(T_1')$ and $v(T_2)$ are contained in the $O(R^{-1/2+\delta})$-neighborhood of π, where π passes through the origin and is parallel to Π.

If $\omega(T) = (v, w, vw)$ then we see that $v(T) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{v^2+w^2+1}}(w, v, -1)$. If we correspond

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{v^2 + w^2 + 1}}(w, v, -1) \to (v, w),$$

then (v_1, w_1) is in the $O(R^{-1/2+\delta})$-neighborhood of the line l passing through (v_1', w_1') and (v_2, w_2) . On the other hand, (v_1, w_1) obeys (4.30). Thus, (v_1, w_1) is contained in the intersection between the $O(R^{-1/2+\delta})$-neighborhood of l and the $O(R^{-1/2})$-neighborhood of $\ell(T_1', T_2)$.

Consider the directions of l and $\ell(T_1', T_2)$. The direction of l is normal to the vector $(w_2 - w_1', -v_2 + v_1')$, and that of $\ell(T_1', T_2)$ is normal to $(w_2 - w_1', v_2 - v_1')$. By (4.26), the directions of l and $\ell(T_1', T_2)$ are transverse, and thus (v_1, w_1) is contained in a disc of radius $O(R^{-1/2+\delta})$. Since all tubes are passing through Q, we conclude that the number of choice of T_1 is $O(R^{C\delta})$.

Accordingly, it follows that

$$\sum_{T_1,T_1'\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{1,Q}}\sum_{T_2,T_2'\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{2,Q}}\langle F_{T_1}F_{T_2},F_{T_1'}F_{T_2'}\rangle\lesssim R^{C\delta}\sum_{T_1\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{1,Q}}\sum_{T_2\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{2,Q}}\int|F_{T_1}F_{T_2}|^2,$$

which is (4.27). □

Due to the orthogonality we can obtain the following estimate.

Lemma 4.10. *Suppose that $(v_k,w_k)\in\bar\tau_k$ for $k=1,2$ obeys (4.26). Then*

$$\int_Q|Ef^\flat_{\tau_1,j}|^2|Ef^\flat_{\tau_2,j}|^2\lesssim R^{C\delta}R^{-1/2}\Big(\sum_{T_1\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{1,Q}}\|f_{T_1}\|^2_{L^2(S)}\Big)\Big(\sum_{T_2\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{2,Q}}\|f_{T_2}\|^2_{L^2(S)}\Big)+O(R^{-990}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}).\tag{4.31}$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1,

$$Ef^\flat_{\tau_k,j}=\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{k,Q}}Ef_T+O(R^{-990}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}).$$

After substituting this in the left-hand side of (4.31), we apply Lemma 4.9. Then

$$\int_Q|Ef^\flat_{\tau_1,j}|^2|Ef^\flat_{\tau_2,j}|^2\le R^{C\delta}\sum_{T_1\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{1,Q}}\sum_{T_2\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{2,Q}}\int|Ef_{T_1}Ef_{T_2}|^2+O(R^{-990}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}).\tag{4.32}$$

Now it suffices to show that

$$\int|Ef_{T_1}Ef_{T_2}|^2\lesssim R^{-1/2}\|f_{T_1}\|^2_{L^2(S)}\|f_{T_2}\|^2_{L^2(S)}.\tag{4.33}$$

By the Plancherel theorem and (1) of Proposition 2.1,

$$\int|Ef_{T_1}Ef_{T_2}|^2=\int|f_{T_1}d\sigma_{3\Omega_1}*f_{T_2}d\sigma_{3\Omega_2}|^2.$$

From the condition (4.26) it follows that $\|d\sigma_{3\Omega_1}*d\sigma_{3\Omega_2}\|_\infty\lesssim R^{-1/2}$. So we have

$$\|f_{T_1}d\sigma_{3\Omega_1}*f_{T_2}d\sigma_{3\Omega_2}\|_\infty\le CR^{-1/2}\|f_{T_1}\|_{L^\infty(S)}\|f_{T_2}\|_{L^\infty(S)}.$$

On the other hand, by Young's inequality it follows that

$$\|f_{T_1}d\sigma_{3\Omega_1}*f_{T_2}d\sigma_{3\Omega_2}\|_1\le\|f_{T_1}\|_{L^1(S)}\|f_{T_2}\|_{L^1(S)}.$$

By interpolating these two estimates,

$$\|f_{T_1}d\sigma_{\Omega_1}*f_{T_2}d\sigma_{\Omega_2}\|_2\lesssim R^{-1/4}\|f_{T_1}\|_{L^2(S)}\|f_{T_2}\|_{L^2(S)},$$

and so

$$\|Ef_{T_1}Ef_{T_2}\|_2\lesssim R^{-1/4}\|f_{T_1}\|_{L^2(S)}\|f_{T_2}\|_{L^2(S)},$$

which is (4.33). □

Now we will sum (4.31) over Q. For this we use the way of dealing with two dimensional Kakeya set; see [5]. By simple calculation we know that if $T_1\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{1,Q}$ and $T_2\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{2,Q}$ then

$$\int\chi_{T_1}\chi_{T_2}\sim KR^{3/2}.\tag{4.34}$$

Inserting $K^{-1}R^{-3/2}\int \chi_{T_1}\chi_{T_2}$ into the right-hand side of (4.31), we have

$$\int_Q |Ef^\flat_{\tau_1,j}|^2|Ef^\flat_{\tau_2,j}|^2 \\ \lesssim K^{-1}R^{C\delta}R^{-2}\sum_{T_1\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{1,Q}}\sum_{T_2\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{2,Q}}\|f_{T_1}\|^2_{L^2(S)}\|f_{T_2}\|^2_{L^2(S)}\int \chi_{T_1}\chi_{T_2}+O(R^{-990}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}).$$

We rewrite this as

$$\int_Q |Ef^\flat_{\tau_1,j}|^2|Ef^\flat_{\tau_2,j}|^2 \\ \lesssim K^{-1}R^{C\delta}R^{-2}\sum_{T_1\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{1,j}}\sum_{T_2\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{2,j}}\|f_{T_1}\|^2_{L^2(S)}\|f_{T_2}\|^2_{L^2(S)}\int_{KQ} \chi_{T_1}\chi_{T_2}+O(R^{-990}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)})$$

where $\mathbb{T}^\flat_{1,j}=\{T\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_j:\omega(T)\in\tau_1\}$ and $\mathbb{T}^\flat_{2,j}=\{T\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_j:\omega(T)\in\tau_2\}$.
We sum the above estimate over Q with $Q\cap B_j\cap W\neq\emptyset$, and insert (4.34). Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_j\cap W}&|Ef^\flat_{\tau_1,j}|^2|Ef^\flat_{\tau_2,j}|^2\\ &\lesssim R^{C\delta}R^{-2}\sum_{T_1\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{1,j}}\sum_{T_2\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{2,j}}\|f_{T_1}\|^2_{L^2(S)}\|f_{T_2}\|^2_{L^2(S)}\int \chi_{T_1}\chi_{T_2}+O(R^{-990}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)})\\ &\lesssim KR^{C\delta}R^{-1/2}\Big(\sum_{T_1\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{1,j}}\|f_{T_1}\|^2_{L^2(S)}\Big)\Big(\sum_{T_2\in\mathbb{T}^\flat_{2,j}}\|f_{T_2}\|^2_{L^2(S)}\Big)+O(R^{-950}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)})\\ &\lesssim KR^{C\delta}R^{-1/2}\|f^\flat_{\tau_1,j}\|^2_{L^2(S)}\|f^\flat_{\tau_2,j}\|^2_{L^2(S)}+O(R^{-950}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)})\end{aligned}$$

where the (5) of Proposition 2.1 is used in the last line. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_j\cap W}\mathrm{Bil}(Ef^\flat_j)^4&\lesssim K^CR^{C\delta}R^{-1/2}\sum_{\tau_1}\|f^\flat_{\tau_1,j}\|^2_{L^2(S)}\sum_{\tau_2}\|f^\flat_{\tau_2,j}\|^2_{L^2(S)}+O(R^{-950}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)})\\ &\lesssim K^CR^{C\delta}R^{-1/2}\Big(\sum_{\tau}\|f^\flat_{\tau,j}\|^2_{L^2(S)}\Big)^2+O(R^{-950}\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $K^C\le R^\delta$ for a large R,

$$\|\mathrm{Bil}(Ef^\flat_j)\|_{L^4(B_j\cap W)}\lesssim R^{C\delta}R^{-1/8}\Big(\sum_{\tau}\|f^\flat_{\tau,j}\|^2_{L^2(S)}\Big)^{1/2}+O(R^{-200}\sum_\tau\|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}). \tag{4.35}$$

On the other hand, we can obtain another estimate in fairly easy way. Indeed, by Plancherel's theorem it follows that

$$\int_{-R/2}^{R/2}\int_{D(R)}|Ef(\bar{x},x_3)|^2d\bar{x}dx_3\lesssim R\|f\|^2_{L^2(S)}.$$

This is written as

$$\|Ef\|_{L^2(B(R)}\lesssim R^{1/2}\|f\|_{L^2(S)}.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the above estimate,

$$\|\mathrm{Bil}(Ef^\flat_j)\|^2_{L^2(B_j\cap W)}\lesssim K^C\sum_{\tau_1}\sum_{\tau_2}\int_{B(R)}|Ef^\flat_{\tau_1,j}||Ef^\flat_{\tau_2,j}|\lesssim K^{C'}R\sum_\tau\|f^\flat_{\tau,j}\|^2_{L^2(S)}.$$

Since $K^C \le R^\delta$, we have

$$\|\mathrm{Bil}(Ef_j^\flat)\|_{L^2(B_j\cap W)} \lesssim R^\delta R^{1/2}(\sum_\tau \|f_{\tau,j}^\flat\|_{L^2(S)}^2)^{1/2}. \tag{4.36}$$

We now interpolate (4.35) with (4.36). Then it follows that for all $2 \le p \le 4$,

$$\|\mathrm{Bil}(Ef_j^\flat)\|_{L^p(B_j\cap W)} \lesssim R^{C\delta}R^{\frac{5}{2p}-\frac{3}{4}}\Big(\sum_\tau \|f_{\tau,j}^\flat\|_{L^2(S)}^2\Big)^{1/2} + O(R^{\frac{800}{p}-400}\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}). \tag{4.37}$$

Let us consider $\sum_\tau \|f_{\tau,j}^\flat\|_{L^2(S)}^2$. We will utilize the geometric estimate for the tangential tubes. By (4) of Proposition 2.1, Lemma 4.8 and (5) of Proposition 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_\tau \int_S |f_{\tau,j}^\flat|^2 &\lesssim \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}_j^\flat}\int_S |f_T|^2 + O(R^{-900}\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}) \\
&\lesssim R^{1/2+C\delta}\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}_j^\flat(\Omega)}\int_S |f_T|^2 + O(R^{-900}\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}) \\
&\lesssim R^{1/2+C\delta}\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega)}\int_S |f_T|^2 + O(R^{-900}\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}) \\
&\lesssim R^{1/2+C\delta}\int_\Omega |f|^2 + O(R^{-900}\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|f\|_{L^\infty(S)} \le 1$, one has $\fint_\Omega |f|^2 \lesssim 1$. By using this we obtain

$$\sum_\tau \int_S |f_{\tau,j}^\flat|^2 \lesssim R^{1/2+C\delta}R^{-1} = R^{-1/2+C\delta}. \tag{4.38}$$

By raising both sides of (4.37) to the pth power,

$$\|\mathrm{Bil}(Ef_j^\flat)\|_{L^p(B_j\cap W)}^p \lesssim R^{C\delta}R^{\frac{5}{2}-\frac{3p}{4}}\Big(\sum_\tau \|f_{\tau,j}^\flat\|_{L^2(S)}^2\Big)^{p/2} + O(R^{800-400p}\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}^p).$$

Using (4.38) we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big(\sum_\tau \|f_{\tau,j}^\flat\|_{L^2(S)}^2\Big)^{p/2} &= \Big(\sum_\tau \|f_{\tau,j}^\flat\|_{L^2(S)}^2\Big)^{(p-3)/2}\Big(\sum_\tau \|f_{\tau,j}^\flat\|_{L^2(S)}^2\Big)^{3/2} \\
&\lesssim R^{C\delta}R^{\frac{3}{4}-\frac{p}{4}}\Big(\sum_\tau \|f_{\tau,j}^\flat\|_{L^2(S)}^2\Big)^{3/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|\mathrm{Bil}(Ef_j^\flat)\|_{L^p(B_j\cap W)}^p \lesssim R^{C\delta}R^{\frac{13}{4}-p}\Big(\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}^2\Big)^{3/2} + O(R^{800-400p}\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|_{L^2(S)}^p).$$

By taking $p = p_0$ we finally obtain (4.25).

5. Appendix

In this section, we prove the wave packet decomposition.

5.1. **Proof of Proposition 2.1.** We cover $\mathbb{R}^2$ with discs B of radius $R^{1/2+\delta}$ which intersect only finite times. Let us define tube T by

$$T = \{x = (x', x_3) : |x' - x_0' - x_3 n(\Omega)| \le R^{1/2+\delta}, |x_3| \le R\},$$

where x_0' is the center point of B and $n(\Omega)$ is the normal vector of Ω. We define $\mathbb{T}(\Omega)$ to be the set of tubes corresponding to discs B that cover $D(R)$, where $D(R)$ is the disc with radius R and centered at the origin. We also define $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega)$ to be the set of tubes corresponding to discs B that cover $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Let ϕ_T be a partition of unity on $\mathbb{R}^2$ subordinate to the covering by discs B. In fact, we make the slightly stronger assumption that ϕ_T is supported in $(3/4)B$. We can also think of ϕ_T as a partition of unity on $\mathbb{R}^3$, subordinate to the covering by tubes T, where each function $\phi_T(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is independent of x_3. We may assume that $|\nabla^l \phi_T| \lesssim (R^{1/2+\delta})^{-l}$. So, it follows that $|\widehat{\phi_T}(\xi')| \le |B|(1 + R^{1/2+\delta}|\xi'|)^{-10^4}$, where $|B|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of B. Let ψ_Ω be a smooth bump function that is equal to 1 on 2Ω and supported in 3Ω. We may assume that $|\nabla^l \psi_\Omega| \lesssim R^{l/2}$.

We recall the relation between f and $\tilde{f}$, and define a Jacobian factor J as follows :

$$\tilde{f}(\xi') = \tilde{f}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_1\xi_2)\frac{d\sigma(\xi_1, \xi_2)}{d\xi_1 d\xi_2} := Jf(\xi).$$

For each cap Ω, we define $f_\Omega = f\chi_\Omega$ and $\tilde{f}_\Omega = Jf_\Omega$ with the Jacobian J. Let us define $\tilde{f}_T$ by $\tilde{f}_T = \psi_\Omega(\widehat{\phi_T} * \tilde{f}_\Omega)$. Then we observe that the convolution $\widehat{\phi_T} * \tilde{f}_\Omega$ is essentially supported in a small neighborhood of Ω since $\widehat{\phi_T}$ decays rapidly on the outside of the disc of radius $R^{-1/2-\delta}$ and centered at the origin and $\tilde{f}_\Omega$ is supported in Ω. By multiplying ψ_Ω supported in 3Ω, one can see that $\tilde{f}_T$ is supported in 3Ω. We define f_T by $\tilde{f}_T = Jf_T$. Then f_T is supported in 3Ω. So, we have property (1).

Now we will prove property (2). For $T \in \mathbb{T}(\Omega)$, we can write

$$Ef_T(x) = \int e^{ix\cdot\xi} f_T(\xi) d\sigma(\xi) = \int e^{i(x_1\xi_1 + x_2\xi_2 + x_3\xi_1\xi_2)} \tilde{f}_T(\xi_1, \xi_2) d\xi_1 d\xi_2.$$

If we insert $\psi_\Omega(\widehat{\phi_T} * \tilde{f}_\Omega)$ instead of $\tilde{f}_T$, then

$$Ef_T(x) = \int e^{i(x_1\xi_1 + x_2\xi_2)}(e^{i(\xi_1\xi_2 x_3)}\psi_\Omega)(\widehat{\phi_T} * \tilde{f}_\Omega) d\xi_1 d\xi_2.$$

Set $\Psi_{x_3}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = e^{i(\xi_1\xi_2 x_3)}\psi_\Omega$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Ef_T(x) =& \int e^{i(x_1\xi_1 + x_2\xi_2)} \Psi_{x_3}(\widehat{\phi_T} * \tilde{f}_\Omega) d\xi_1\xi_2 \\ =& \mathcal{F}^{-1}\big(\Psi_{x_3}(\widehat{\phi_T} * \tilde{f}_\Omega)\big)(x') = \Psi_{x_3}^\vee * (\phi_T \tilde{f}_\Omega^\vee)(x'), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ and $^\vee$ denote the inverse Fourier transform in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Note that $|\nabla^l \Psi_{x_3}| \lesssim R^{l/2}$. So, it follows that

$$|\Psi_{x_3}^\vee(x')| \le |\Omega|(1 + R^{-1/2}|x'|)^{-10^4\delta^{-1}}.$$

If $x \in B(R) \setminus T$, then the distance from x to the support of ϕ_T is $\ge (1/100)R^{1/2+\delta}$. Also, we can easily see that $|\tilde{f}_\Omega^\vee| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. So, we apply these estimates to the above convolution expression, and then obtain

$$|Ef_T(x)| \le R^{-1000}(1 + \mathrm{dist}(x, T))^{-100}\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \tag{5.1}$$

where $\mathrm{dist}(x, T)$ denotes the distance from x to T. It gives property (2).

Now we represent $Ef(x)$ as

$$\sum_{\Omega} Ef_{\Omega}(x) = \sum_{\Omega} \int e^{i(x'\cdot\xi+\xi_1\xi_2x_3)} \tilde{f}_{\Omega}(\xi_1,\xi_2)d\xi_1 d\xi_2.$$

Since $\psi_{\Omega} \equiv 1$ on the support of $\tilde{f}_{\Omega} \subset \Omega$, it can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{\Omega} Ef_{\Omega}(x) = \sum_{\Omega} \int e^{i(x'\cdot\xi+\xi_1\xi_2x_3)} \psi_{\Omega}\tilde{f}_{\Omega}(\xi_1,\xi_2)d\xi_1 d\xi_2.$$

Note that $\psi_{\Omega}\sum_{T\in\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega)} \widehat{\phi_T} * \tilde{f}_{\Omega}$ converges to $\psi_{\Omega}\tilde{f}_{\Omega}$ in L^2. Then it guarantees L^1- convergence because the support of ψ_{Ω} is contained in 3Ω. So, we can represent $Ef(x)$ as

$$Ef(x) = \sum_{\Omega}\sum_{T\in\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega)} \int e^{i(x'\cdot\xi+\xi_1\xi_2x_3)} \psi_{\Omega}(\widehat{\phi_T} * \tilde{f}_{\Omega})d\xi_1 d\xi_2 = \sum_{\Omega}\sum_{T\in\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega)} Ef_T(x).$$

So, it remains to show that $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega)$ can be extended to $\mathbb{T}(\Omega)$. For $x \in B(R)$, if a tube T does not intersect $B(R)$ then $x \in B(R)\setminus T$. So, in this case, we can use (5.1). If a tube T intersects $B(R)$, from the definition of $\mathbb{T}(\Omega)$, it is contained in $\mathbb{T}(\Omega)$. Thus, we prove property (3).

Let T_1 and T_2 be two disjoint tubes in $\mathbb{T}(\Omega)$. By the definition of f_T,

$$\begin{aligned}\int f_{T_1}\overline{f_{T_2}}d\sigma(\xi) &= \int J\psi_{\Omega}(\widehat{\phi_{T_1}} * \tilde{f}_{\Omega})\overline{\psi}_{\Omega}\overline{(\widehat{\phi_{T_2}} * \tilde{f}_{\Omega})}d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \\ &= \int \big(J|\psi_{\Omega}|^2(\widehat{\phi_{T_1}} * \tilde{f}_{\Omega})\big)\overline{(\widehat{\phi_{T_2}} * \tilde{f}_{\Omega})}d\xi_1 d\xi_2.\end{aligned}$$

Let $F = J|\psi_{\Omega}|^2$. Then by Plancherel's theorem,

$$\int f_{T_1}\overline{f_{T_2}}d\sigma(\xi) = \int \big(\check{F} * (\widehat{\phi_{T_1}}\tilde{f}_{\Omega}^{\vee})\big)\overline{(\widehat{\phi_{T_2}}\tilde{f}_{\Omega}^{\vee})}dx_1 dx_2.$$

Since T_1 and T_2 are disjoint, the distance between the supports of ϕ_{T_1} and ϕ_{T_2} is $\geq (1/4)R^{1/2+\delta}$. One see that $|\nabla^l(F)| \lesssim R^{l/2}$. So. it follows that

$$|F^{\vee}(x')| \leq |\Omega|(1+|x'|R^{-1/2})^{-10^4\delta^{-1}}.$$

It can be easily check to see $|\tilde{f}_{\Omega}^{\vee}| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. We use these estimates in above convolution expression. Then we can see

$$\int f_{T_1}\overline{f_{T_2}}d\sigma(\xi) \lesssim R^{-1000}\|f\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Thus, we show property (4).

Now we consider property (5). As a similar argument used in above, one see

$$\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega)} \int |f_T|^2 d\sigma(\xi) = \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega)} \int |\psi_{\Omega}|^2 J|\widehat{\phi_T} * \tilde{f}_{\Omega}|^2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2.$$

Since the Jacobian factor J is bounded by 2, it can be bounded by

$$2\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega)} \int |\psi_{\Omega}|^2|\widehat{\phi_T} * \tilde{f}_{\Omega}|^2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2.$$

Recall that $\tilde{f}_{\Omega}$ is supported in Ω and $\widehat{\phi_T}$ rapidly decays on the outside of the disc with radius $R^{-1/2-\delta}$ centered at the origin. So, we can see that $\psi_{\Omega}(\widehat{\phi_T} * \tilde{f}_{\Omega})$ is almost equal to $\widehat{\phi_T} * \tilde{f}_{\Omega}$ and

$$\psi_{\Omega}(\widehat{\phi_T} * \tilde{f}_{\Omega})(\xi') = \widehat{\phi_T} * \tilde{f}_{\Omega} + O(R^{-10^4}(1+|\xi'|)^{-10}\|f_{\Omega}\|_{L^2}).$$

By using this we get

$$\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega)}\int |\psi_\Omega|^2 J|\widehat{\phi_T} * \tilde{f}_\Omega|^2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \le \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega)}\int |\widehat{\phi_T} * \tilde{f}_\Omega|^2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 + O(R^{-10^4}\|f\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}).$$

Plancherel's theorem makes that

$$\int |\widehat{\phi_T} * \tilde{f}_\Omega|^2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 = \int |\phi_T|^2 |\tilde{f}_\Omega^\vee|^2 dx_1 dx_2.$$

Since ϕ_T is a partition of unity, $\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega)} |\phi_T|^2 \le 1$. So, it implies

$$\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega)}\int |\phi_T|^2 |\tilde{f}_\Omega^\vee|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \le \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega)}\int |\tilde{f}_\Omega^\vee|^2 dx_1 dx_2 = \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega)}\int |\tilde{f}_\Omega|^2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \lesssim \int_\Omega |f|^2.$$

Combining these, we have

$$\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega)}\int |f_T|^2 d\sigma(\xi) \lesssim \int_\Omega |f|^2.$$

It proves property (5).

We will show (6). By (1), we have that $\|\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}'} f_T\|_\infty \le \max_\Omega \|\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}'(\Omega)} f_T\|_\infty$. It suffices to show that for any Ω,

$$\Big\| \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}'(\Omega)} f_T \Big\|_\infty \lesssim \|f\|_\infty. \tag{5.2}$$

By the definition of f_T, we can write $\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}'(\Omega)} f_T = \psi_\Omega(f_\Omega * (\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}'(\Omega)} \widehat{\phi_T}))$. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have $\|\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}'(\Omega)} f_T\|_\infty \le \|f_\Omega\|_2 \|\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}'(\Omega)} \widehat{\phi_T}\|_2$.

From the Plancherel theorem, we have $\|\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}'(\Omega)} \widehat{\phi_T}\|_2 = \|\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}'(\Omega)} \phi_T\|_2$. Since ϕ_T is a partition of unity, we have that $\|\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}'(\Omega)} \phi_T\|_2^2 \lesssim \sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}(\Omega)} \|\phi_T\|_2^2$. Since $|\widehat{\phi_T}(\xi')| \lesssim |B|(1 + R^{1/2+\delta}|\xi'|)^{-10^4}$, we have $\|\phi_T\|_2 = \|\widehat{\phi_T}\|_2 \lesssim 1$. Thus by combining these we obtain

$$\|\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}'(\Omega)} \widehat{\phi_T}\|_2 \lesssim \#\mathbb{T}(\Omega)^{1/2} \lesssim R^{1/2}.$$

By this estimate, we have $\|\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}'(\Omega)} f_T\|_\infty \lesssim R^{1/2}\|f_\Omega\|_2$, and since $|\Omega| \sim 1/R$, it is written as $\|\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}'(\Omega)} f_T\|_\infty \lesssim (\fint |f_\Omega|^2)^{1/2}$. Since $(\fint |f_\Omega|^2)^{1/2} \lesssim \|f_\Omega\|_\infty \le \|f\|_\infty$, we obtain (5.2).

References

[1] J. Bennett, A. Carbery, and T. Tao, *On the multilinear restriction and Kakeya conjectures*, Acta mathematica **196** (2006), no. 2, 261–302.

[2] J. Bourgain, *Besicovitch type maximal operators and applications to Fourier analysis*, Geometric and Functional analysis **1** (1991), no. 2, 147–187.

[3] ———, *Harmonic analysis and combinatorics: how much may they contribute to each other*, Mathematics: Frontiers and Perspectives (2000), 13–32.

[4] J. Bourgain and L. Guth, *Bounds on oscillatory integral operators based on multilinear estimates*, Geometric and Functional Analysis **21** (2011), no. 6, 1239–1295.

[5] A. Córdoba, *Geometric fourier analysis*, Annales de l'institut fourier, 1982, pp. 215–226.

[6] L. Guth, *The endpoint case of the Bennett–Carbery–Tao multilinear Kakeya conjecture*, Acta mathematica **205** (2010), no. 2, 263–286.

[7] ———, *A restriction estimate using polynomial partitioning*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/jams827.

[8] ———, *A short proof of the multilinear Kakeya inequality*, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society **158** (2015), no. 1, 147–153.

[9] L. Guth and N. Katz, *On the Erdös distinct distance problem in the plane*, Annals of Mathematics **181** (2015), no. 2, 155–190.
[10] H. Kaplan, J. Matoušek, and M. Sharir, *Simple proofs of classical theorems in discrete geometry via the Guth–Katz polynomial partitioning technique*, Discrete & Computational Geometry **48** (2012), no. 3, 499–517.
[11] S. Lee, *Bilinear restriction estimates for surfaces with curvatures of different signs*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **358** (2006), no. 8, 3511–3533.
[12] A. Moyua, A. Vargas, and L. Vega, *Restriction theorems and maximal operators related to oscillatory integrals in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, Duke mathematical journal **96** (1999), no. 3, 547–574.
[13] E.M. Stein, *Some problems in harmonic analysis*, Harmonic analysis in euclidean spaces, 1979, pp. 3–20.
[14] ———, *Oscillatory integrals in Fourier analysis*, Beijing lectures in harmonic analysis, 1986, pp. 307–355.
[15] T. Tao, *From rotating needles to stability of waves: Emerging connections between combinatorics, analysis and PDE*, Notices of the AMS **48** (2001), no. 3, 294–303.
[16] ———, *A sharp bilinear restriction estimate for paraboloids*, Geometric and functional analysis **13** (2003), no. 6, 1359–1384.
[17] T. Tao and A. Vargas, *A bilinear approach to cone multipliers I. Restriction estimates*, Geometric and functional analysis **10** (2000), no. 1, 185–215.
[18] T. Tao, A. Vargas, and L. Vega, *A bilinear approach to the restriction and Kakeya conjectures*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **11** (1998), no. 4, 967–1000.
[19] A. Vargas, *Restriction theorems for a surface with negative curvature*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **249** (2005), no. 1, 97–111.
[20] T. Wolff, *A sharp bilinear cone restriction estimate*, Annals of Mathematics **153** (2001), no. 3, 661–698.

Department of Mathematics, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 790-784, Republic of Korea
E-mail address: chcho@postech.ac.kr

Department of Mathematical Sciences, School of Natural Science, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology, UNIST-gil 50, Ulsan 689-798, Republic of Korea
E-mail address: jungjinlee@unist.ac.kr